### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

### **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P13453PC/AS/AJD	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below				
International application No. PCT/GB2005/001158	International filing date (day/month/year) 23 March 2005 (23.03.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 March 2004 (23.03.2004)				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237						
Applicant ROSS WARK MEDICAL LIMITED						

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).								
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.  In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.								
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:								
	Box No. I	Basis of the report							
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention								
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority							

	Date of issuance of this report 26 September 2006 (26.09.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer  Nora Lindner
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt02@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY REC'D 1 6 NOV 2005 PCT WIPO

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43*bis*.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001158

International filing date (day/month/year) 23.03.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

23.03.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

A61F15/02, B26B15/00

Applicant

ROSS WARK MEDICAL LIMITED

This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

☑ Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

☑ Box No. II

Priority

Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

☑ Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

**FURTHER ACTION** 

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1*bls*(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Douskas, K

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3525



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001158

_						
_	Вс	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international app the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>					
		lan	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international applic necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. i	ype	of material:			
			a sequence listing			
			table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orma	at of material:			
			n written format			
			in computer readable form			
	c. t	ime d	of filling/furnishing:			
			contained in the international application as filed.			
			iled together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	ļ		urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		nas	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional les is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.			
4.	Add	dition	al comments:			
	Воз	k No	II Priority			
1.	⊠	real	validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority is not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where sired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the umption that the relevant date (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.			
2.		nas	opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.	Add	lition	al observations, if necessary:			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001158

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
apı	аррисарику							
The obv	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application,							
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 41							
bec	ause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	the description, claims or drawl unclear that no meaningful opin	ngs ( ion d	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so could be formed (specify):					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.							
$\boxtimes$	no international search report h	as b	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 41					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	3 See separate sheet for further details							

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/001158

_	Во	k No. IV	Lack of unity of	Inventio	n				
1.	Ø	In resp	onse to the invitation	on (Form F	PCT/ISA/20	06) to pay ac	dditional fees, the a	pplicant has:	
			paid additional fee	S.					
			paid additional fee	s under pı	rotest.				
		$\boxtimes$	not paid additional	fees.					
2.		This Au	uthority found that to plicant to pay addition	he require onal fees.	ment of ur	nity of invent	tion is not complied	l with and chose	not to invite
3.	This	Author	ity considers that th	e requirer	ment of un	ity of inventi	ion in accordance v	vith Rule 13.1, 13	3.2 and 13.3 is
		eilqmœ	d with						
	⊠ r	ot com	plied with for the fol	lowing rea	asons:		•		
		•	parate sheet						
4.	Con	sequen	tly, this report has t	een estat	olished in r	espect of th	e following parts of	the international	application:
	□ <i>a</i>	ıll parts.							
	⊠ t	he parts	relating to claims I	Nos. 1-40			·		
	Box	No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 40	B <i>bls</i> .1(a)(i) v	with regard to nov	elty, Inventive s	tep or
1.		ement							
	Nov	eity (N)		Yes:	Claims	2-40			
				No:	Claims	1			
	Inve	ntive st	ep (IS)		Claims	2-40	•		
				No:	Claims	1			
	Indu	strial ap	oplicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-40			
2.	Citat	ions an	d explanations						
	see	separa	te sheet						
	Вох	No. VII	l Certain observ	ations on	the inter	national app	olication		
Th	e foll	owing o	hservations on the	clarity of t	he claime	description	and drawings or or	a the guesties wh	oth - u th -

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001158

### Re Item IV.

The separate inventions/groups of inventions are:

### Claims 1-40

A cast cutter comprising first and second relatively moveable cutting members having inner faces in non-engaging relationship to provide clearance there between.

### Claim 41

A switching arrangement comprising a primary a first secondary and a second secondary operating switch wherein the primary operating switch may be activated when at least one of the first and second operating switches is activated.

They are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (Rule 13.1 PCT) for the following reasons:

The subject matter of claims 1-40 is related to a cast cutter and a method for removing a cast comprising first and second relatively moveable cutting members having inner faces in non-engaging relationship to provide clearance there between, solving the problem of avoiding entrapment of the cast material between the cutting members during the cutting operation.

The subject matter of claim 42 is related to a switching arrangement comprising a primary, a first secondary, and a second secondary operating switch, solving the problem of safely operating a hand operated device.

The subjects 1 and 2 are not mutually linked by any general inventive concept.

No same or corresponding feature can be found among the groups of claims (1-40) and 41. The especial technical features of the groups of claims (1-40) and 41 solve different and distinct problems which can be approached independently from each other.

There is therefore no technical relationship between the groups of claims (1-40) and 41,

and the inventions defined in the above mentioned 2 sets of claims are not linked by a general inventive concept.

#### Re Item VIII.

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claim 1 is not clear.

It is clear from the description on page 3 lines 3-5, page 12 lines 20-29 and drawings 4-7 that the following feature is essential to the definition of the invention:

the cutting edges are adapted to engage and cooperate to produce shearing forces.

Since independent claim 1 does not contain this feature it does not meet the requirement following from Article 6 PCT taken in combination with Rule 6.3(b) PCT that any independent claim must contain all the technical features essential to the definition of the invention.

Due to this lack of clarity, no final opinion can be given with respect to novelty or inventive step. However, in order to speed up the procedure, we draw your attention to the comments here below, given, without prejudice, on the claims as far as they could be interpreted.

#### Re Item V.

- 1 Reference is made to the following document: D1: US 5 901 447 A (DUNNING ET AL) 11 May 1999 (1999-05-11)
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 Due to the clarity objection set out under point VIII, the present application does

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/001158

not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (claims; drawings)

A cast cutter comprising first and second relatively moveable cutting members having inner faces in non-engaging relationship to provide clearance there between.

- 3 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 40
- 3.1 **Due to the clarity objection** set out under point VIII, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 40 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

  Document D1 discloses (claims; drawings)

A method of removing a cast from a patient using the cast cutter of claim 1.